App. F. IX-4

Previous References

Reserved

1934-35 (CS)

CS File No. 85-34

Serial Nos. 3, 4

CS 121-3-1-17

Later References.

Serial Nos. 36-41, 23, 26, 37, 47, 62, 65, 66

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 3

Part B for April 35

SUBJECT.

Representation of Mr J. C. Rollo Principal, Maharajas College, Mysore for the benefit of the increased deputation allowance, during his leave period also.

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

Representation of Mr J.C. Rollo, Principal, Maharaja's College.

General and Revenue Secretariat | C.B. File No. 85 of 1934. | [App. F. III—

Serial No.

Letter No. 12889 dt 11th January 1935 from the Registrar, Mysore University, forwarding the representation of Mr J.C. Rollo, Principal, Maharaja's College, Mysore, requesting that in the circumstances explained, he may, for the purpose of fixation of his pay, be allowed the benefit of the rise in his duty allowance by way arrears.

Rules

(Ref) Cg. 1) In Govt Order No. 995-6/CB.85-34-2 dt 26th Nov. 1934, the duty allowance of Rs 100/- paid to Mr Rollo was raised to Rs 150-0-0 with effect from 1st June 1934. As Mr Rollo was on leave from 26th June 1934 to 24th Oct. 1934, he cannot claim the duty allowance. (Vide Govt. Notifications dt 23rd March 1934 and 9th Nov. respectively.)

Ref. J and K. 2) Under the Rules no duty allowance is ~~allowance~~ is allowed for the period when the officer will be on ~~Leave~~ furlough. Nor under the existing rules can duty allowance be treated as Salary for the purpose of calculating leave allowance.

The Representation of Mr Rollo is for orders.

RandR 16.1.35

3) The enhancement of D.A. from Rs 100 to Rs 150 was given retrospective effect from 1.6.34 for the reason mentioned in Dewan's minute d.9.11.34 (para 49 of notes in C.B.85-34-1&2 pub.

Mr. Rollo says that he could not get

NOTE—Contd. Sheet.

FL. B.

WD 0955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

1148

File No. of 193 . General and Revenue Secretariat

benefit from this retrospective enhancement as he was on leave for the major portion of the period.

4) He says that he understands "it was the intention of Govt. that ... the benefit of Six months arrears of the increase should be given" to him, and wants the G.O. to be re-worded accordingly. He says the ~~is~~ extra cost ~~is~~ would be Rs 37-8-0 p.m, but the intention of Govt. seems to ~~have~~ be ~~been~~ that he should get full Rs 50 increase and the G.O. as issued was expected to have this effect. (The Comptroller perhaps has allowed Rs 12-8-0 less). Reference is invited in this connection to paras 50, 54 and 58 of notes in C.B. 85-36-1 & 2. The Fin. Secretary may be consulted about this.

Point (1)

Submd. for orders

17-1-35

Asst. ~~Secretary~~ Secy. (Edn)

5) The Fin. & Secy. may be Consulted.

Gen. Secy

17/1

Fin. Secretary.

6) Com. 19-1-35

9.2.35.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 1474 BANGALORE.

Serial No.

(1474

7) Mr. Rollo's request appears to be that he should be given the benefit of the increased allowance from 25th June 1934 to 24th October 1934 when he was on furlough leave. An officer on furlough is entitled to only average salary (average of the salary drawn during three years prior to the date of going on leave) and is not entitled to draw the Duty Allowance attached to the post since this does not form part of salary. His request that he may be allowed to draw it during leave is therefore inadmissible and is not financially acceptable.

A

8) As regards point (2) mentioned in the office note above, Mr. Rollo has not raised it and has not asked for orders about it. We need not rake it up.

(B)

1/3

1.3.35

hdh hlos

9) A. Mr. Rollo will be informed accordingly if appd.

II (Sd) 1/3

4.3.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF GOVT. 5 MAR 1935 No. 5564

10) I agree with the 7th Secl. Naturally he can claim it only for periods he was entitled to & not when under the rules it is not admissible. He evidently does not get the 100 + 50 the Secretd must be on the same footing.

5/3 1/3/35

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01965—GPH—22,500—12-6-34

Representation from J.C. Rollo, Principal, Maharaja's College, Mysore

PB File No. of 193 . General and Revenue Secretariat

DEWAN'S OFFICE
11 MAR. 1935
No. 5232

Submit with a draft for approval

Asst Secy (Edn) 6/3/35.

Gen. Secy) 8/3

Dft appd.

Submit for perusal before issue

Dewan 11.3.35

I agree. Instructions of the Rolls. But please see if he has been a mistake regarding No 12/8 and thereby the G.O. of 4, I draft has to be amended.

12/3

Pl. PB would be sent to Finance Secretary (Member)

13/3.35

13/3

Fin. Secy) 14/3

16/3/35.

OFFICE OF THE 2ND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
MAR 1935
No. 5241

Fl 1940
15/3/35

extra allowance of Mr Rollo

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

194

The Comptroller may be informed that the intention of Government is that no recalculation in the amount of salary cut on the basis of the increased allowance need be effected in the present case and that Mr. Rollo should be given an extra allowance of Rs 50/- in addition to the net emoluments hitherto drawn by him.

(Copy of note approved by the Financial Secretary

20/3/35.

Dft Secy
20/3

Sales with two a draft for approval
xmR
20/3/35

A letter to the Comptroller is put up on the lines suggested by the Fin Secy. as also a letter to the Registrar of the University explaining the

for approval.

CGA 27/3/35
Asst Secy (EON)
Gen. Secy
27/3

Draft II is all right & is appd.
Draft I to the Compt.
Last Para:- (Room, 21-June 1934
To the Comptroller Revised
27/3.35

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

In the G.O. d. 26.11.34 sanctioning the enhanced duty allowance, it is specified that the increase would have effect from 1.6.34. What is now proposed is merely ~~to~~ an interpretation of what was meant to be conveyed in the above G.O. — in accordance with the orders of the Dewan at the time the G.O. was passed which were also concurred in by the Fin Secy. ~~at that time~~ then.

In the letter now ~~sent~~ addressed to the Comptroller the words "from 1st June 1934" have been added in the last para to make it clear to the audit office that the benefit of the interpretation now given is intended to have effect from 1.6.34.

The letter to the Regr of the University — of which a copy is also proposed to be sent to the Comptroller — explains that the allowance is not admissible for the leave period (25th June 34 to 24.10.34).

yes. This may be done

Submd
29.3.35.
Asst Secy (Edn)

one draft may perhaps be perused by the Fin Dept also.

Refce:- Gen. Secy's query above re draft II.

The period "from 1st June 1934", mentioned in the draft seems would be correct, as being in accordance with ~~the~~ what was meant in the previous G.O of 26-11-34 (Ft B): vide note above. The draft may be approved.

[If necy., this may be perused by the Fin'l Secy. before issue]. YES

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT
G.O.No. Fin. 2119
1. APR. 1935
BANGALORE

Secretary

Gen. Secy

Fin'l Secy) for perusal before issue

30/3

30/3

3/4/35

Serial No.

cmt695—

Issue Section. Seen.
Issue Register Seen.
8/4/35
Issue
G 1014/35

Communicated

2153 Dy 10-4-35
The Comptroller Fin. Secty
Stating that it is not the intention of Govt
that Mr Rollo's Salary etc is now to be re-
calculated on the basis of the increased allowa
& requesting that he may be allowed
the benefit of extra allowance as well
as any other increments

2154 Dy 10.4.35
The Registrar, Mysore Chambers
(Forwards a Copy of the above)
& states that the request of Mr Rollo
that he may be taken cannot be complied with

2155 Dy 10.4.35
The Comptroller
Forwards a copy of the above

B

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

No.
Enc. One

CB 1148

CS 85-34-3

UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE

Tel. Address: "UNIREG"
Telephone No. 277.

V. GOPALASWAMI AIENGAR, M.A.,
Registrar.

MYSORE.

Dated 14 January 1935

Dear Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No.995-96/C.B. 85 88-34-2, dated the 26th November 1934, raising the duty allowance of Rs.100/- drawn by Mr.J.C.Rollo, M.A., J.P., Principal, Maharaja's College, Mysore, to Rs.150/-p.m. with effect from the 1st June 1934, I am desired to forward herewith a copy of letter No.2004, dated the 31st December 1934, received from Mr.J.C.Rollo, for favourable consideration.

Yours Sincerely,

[signature]

S.Abdul Wajid, Esq., B.A.,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

h-10.

University of Mysore.

Letter No.2004, dated the 31st December 1934, from Mr.J.C.Rollo, M.A.,J.P., Principal,Maharaja&s College,Mysore, to the Registrar, University of Mysore.

With reference to the order in which Government have been good enough to sanction an addition of Rs.50/- to my allowance as Principal, with effect from the 1st of June, I have the honour to state that I understand it was the intention of Government that, owing to the length of the period during which I have been urging the restoration of the full allowance, the benefit of six months' arrears of the increase should be given me. As it turns out, however, I cannot draw anything of the intrease for the time between June 25th and October 24th, since I was on leave. I venture to request therefore that Government may be pleased so to reword the order that I may actually get the intended benefit by way of arrears. (The actual benefit which the increase gives me is only Rs.37-8-0 per month, since I received Rs.12-8-0 benefit under the not-more-than-15-per cent rule.)

"Copy"

Registrar.

h-10.

I

2153/C.B.85-84-4

4/10/33

To

The Comptroller to Government

(through Financial Secretariat)

S i r,

I am directed to invite reference to G.O. No. 995-6/C.B. 85-34-2 dated 26th November 1934, enhancing the duty allowance of Mr. J. C. Rollo, Principal, Maharaja's College, Mysore, from Rs.100 to Rs.150/- from 1st June 1934.

Government understand that in giving effect to the above order, the relief in salary cut to the extent of Rs.12 -8-0 which Mr. Rollo was allowed previously under the not-more-than 15 per cent rule has been set off against the increase of duty allowance now sanctioned, and that he has been allowed to get a net increase of Rs.37-8-0 only in his emoluments.

I am to state that it is not the intention of Government that the officer's salary cut is to be now recalculated on the basis of the increased allowance and to request that he may be allowed the benefit of the extra allowance of Rs.50/- in full from 1st June 1934 in addition to the net emoluments that were being drawn by him at the time.

I have etc.,

27/33

General Secretary.

Vide W.2153/C.B 4/10/33

Copy to Registrar, Mysore University.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. L 1 J 3 / C.B. 85-34-4 *Dated, Bangalore,* 10th April 1935.

From

H. V. Ramasvami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

A. N. Raghavachar, Esq., M.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

(Through the Financial Secretariat)

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to Government Order No. 995-6/C.B. 85-34-2 dated 26th November 1934, enhancing the duty allowance of Mr. J. C. Rollo, Principal, Maharaja's College, Mysore, from Rs.100/- to Rs.150/- from 1st June 1934.

Government understand that in giving effect to the above order, the relief in salary cut to the extent of Rs.12-8-0 which Mr. Rollo was allowed previously under the not-more-than 15 per cent rule has been set off against the increase of duty allowance now sanctioned, and that he has been allowed to get a net increase of Rs.37-8-0 only in his emoluments.

I am to state that it is not the intention of Government that the officer's salary cut is to be now recalculated on the basis of the increased allowance and to request that he may be allowed the benefit of the extra allowance of Rs.50/- in full from 1st June 1934 in addition to the net emoluments that were being drawn by him at the time.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. V. Ramasvami

General Secretary to Government.

kmk

II

To 2154/C.S 85-34-5
Enc'on 4/19 4/35

The Registrar,
Mysore University.

S i r,

I am directed to invite reference to your D.O. letter No. 12889 dated 11th January 1935 forwarding a letter from Mr.J.C Rollo, Principal, Maharaja's College, Mysore representing that during the period of his leave from 25th June 1934 to 24th October 1934 he could not derive any benefit from the increase of Duty Allowance from Rs.100/- to Rs.150/- sanctioned to him with effect from 1st June 1934 in G.O. No.995-6/C.B.85-34-2 dated 26th November 1934, and requesting that the order may be reworded so as to give him th benefit by way of arrears.

I am to state that under the rules, an officer on furlough is entitled to only average salary and is not entitled to draw the Duty Allowance attached to the post since this does not form part of salary. The request o Mr. Rollo that he may be allowed the benefit of the increase in the duty allowance for the leave period is not admissible, and Government regret that it is not possible to comply with it.

I am, however, to add that from Mr.Rollo letter dated the 31st December 1934 addressed to you, it is found that in giving effect to

the Government order raising his duty allowance from Rs.100 to Rs.150, he has been allowed a net increase in emoluments to the extent of Rs.37-8-0 only. The intention of Government is, [redacted] that he should get the full benefit of the enhancement, ie., a net additional emolument of Rs.50/-. Copy of a letter addressed to the Comptroller in the matter is enclosed for information and communication to Mr. Rollo.

I have etc.,

General Secretary.

27/3

Copy to Comptroller

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, ~~9th~~ 10th April 1935

No. 2154/C.B. 85-34-5

Encl

From

H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Registrar,
Mysore University,
Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to your D.O. letter No. 12889 dated 11th January 1935 forwarding a letter from Mr. J. C. Rollo, Principal, Maharaja's College, Mysore, representing that during the period of his leave from 25th June 1934 to 24th October 1934 he could not derive any benefit from the increase of Duty Allowance from Rs.100/- to Rs.150/- sanctioned to him with effect from 1st June 1934 in Government Order No. 995-6/C.B. 85-34-2 dated 26th November 1934, and requesting that the order may be re-worded so as to give him the benefit by way of arrears.

I am to state that under the rules, an officer on furlough is entitled to only average salary and is not entitled to draw the Duty allowance attached to the post since this does not form part of salary. The request of Mr. Rollo that he may be allowed the benefit of the increase in the duty allowance for the leave period is not admissible, and Government regret that it is not possible to comply with it.

I am, however, to add that from Mr. Rollo's letter dated the 31st December 1934 addressed to you, it is found that in giving effect to the Government Order raising his duty allowance from Rs.100 to Rs.150, he has been allowed a net increase in emoluments to the extent of Rs.37-8-0 only. The intention of Government is,

that he should get the full benefit of the enhancement ie., a net additional emolument of Rs.50/-. Copy of a letter addressed to the Comptroller in the matter is enclosed for information and communication to Mr. Rollo.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Government.

KMR